IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

PASTOR ANDRE JOHNSON,	
PLAINTIFF V.	Case No.
BISHOP DESIGNATE JAMES W. HUNT, SR., IN HIS CAPACITY AS CHAIRMAN OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC. AND THE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC., DEFENDANTS	Judge: Magistrate Judge:

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Pastor Andre Johnson, as Pastor of Grace & Mercy Church of God in Christ, and Delegate in good standing of The General Assembly of The Church Of God In Christ, Incorporated ("Pastor Johnson"), states as follows as his Complaint against Defendant Bishop Designate James W. Hunt, Sr., in his capacity as Chairman of the General Assembly of The Church of God in Christ, Inc. ("Chairman Hunt") and against Defendant The Church Of God In Christ, Inc. as a necessary party to the instant action:

THE PARTIES

1. The Church Of God In Christ, Incorporated is a religious denomination headquartered in the city of Memphis, Shelby County, Tennessee and chartered in the State of

Tennessee as a not-for-profit religious organization (hereinafter the "Church"). The Church, directly and through its member churches, conducts operations in each of the fifty United States and in numerous foreign countries. The worldwide membership of the Church exceeds 7 million worshippers. Numerous member churches of the Church are located in Illinois and operate in and interact with the Church from within Illinois.

- 2. The Church's internal governance is hierarchical and is governed by its Constitution, the first version of which was enacted in 1972 and has subsequent thereto been formally amended (the "Church Constitution"). Under the Church Constitution, The General Assembly operates as the legislative branch and the General Board operates as the executive branch. Among other amendments, in 1991, the General Assembly ratified Article VIII of the Church Constitution formally establishing the Judiciary Board to serve as the third and co-equal judicial branch of the Church's constitutional structure. See Church Constitution at Article VIII, Preamble. A true and correct copy of Article VIII of the Church Constitution is attached hereto as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. In this manner, the Church Constitution is modeled after the United States Constitution. Id.
- 3. Pastor Johnson is a citizen of the State of Illinois and resides exclusively in Illinois. Pastor Johnson is a duly credentialed delegate in good standing in the General Assembly and is the credentialed Pastor of Grace & Mercy Church of God in Christ in Calumet City, Illinois, a member church in the Church.
- 4. Defendant Bishop Designate James W. Hunt, Sr. currently is the Chairman of the General Assembly (hereinafter "Chairman Hunt"). In his official capacity, Chairman Hunt is a citizen of the State of Tennessee.
- 5. The Church is incorporated in the State of Tennessee and has its headquarters in Memphis, Tennessee. The Church therefore is a citizen of the State of Tennessee. The Church is

sued in its capacity as a necessary party to this action as it will be directly affected by and has a direct and substantial interest in the outcome of these proceedings.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. §1332(a), as this dispute involves parties who are completely diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 7. Venue is appropriate in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 on the basis that a substantial number of the events pertinent to Pastor Johnson's claims for relief occurred within this district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. In material part, Article VIII of the Church Constitution expressly states as follows with respect to the vital role the Judiciary Board plays in the checks and balances system effectuating The Church's internal governance procedures:

The establishment of the Judiciary Board of the Church of God in Christ, Incorporated shall bring into existence a third branch of church government, which shall exist in conjunction with the two present branches of government, the Executive Branch and the Legislative Branch. This branch of government shall be established as both an ecclesiastical and appellate court, hearing disputes upon appeal from lower dispute resolution forums in the Church and serving as the ultimate authority on matters of constitutional interpretation. . . . the Judiciary Board shall balance the Legislative and Executive Branches by being a final authority on questions of constitutionality and the final appellate forum of the Church for disputes.

* * *

This independent objective branch of Church government shall have as its highest objective the protection of the rights of every member of the Church of God in Christ, Incorporated as set forth in the Church Constitution. The protection of those rights shall be without regard for official position or social station. Therefore, it shall be crucial that the Judiciary Board decisions are rendered without intimidation, coercion,

or undue influence and that the members of said Board are fair, sober, objective and seasoned in their decision making.

The Judiciary Board shall serve to prevent the intrusion of civil authorities into the affairs of the Church wherever inevitable disputes shall arise. Generally the secular legal system has been reticent to intervene in the internal affairs of any religious body, preferring that said disputes are resolved internally. Where the decisions of the Judiciary Board have been made objectively consistent with previous precedent setting resolutions, or with reasonable grounds for modifying interpretation of the Church's constitution, the civil court would not readily overturn said decisions.

* *

DUTIES

The Judiciary Board shall determine the constitutionality of any act of the General Assembly upon the appeal of the majority of that Assembly.

- 1. The Judiciary Board shall determine the constitutionality of any act of the General Board upon the appeal of the majority of that Board.
- 2. The Judiciary Board shall determine the constitutionality of any act of a jurisdictional assembly or a jurisdictional bishop upon the appeal of the majority of the pastors of the jurisdiction.
- 3. The Judiciary Board shall decide any election dispute referred to it by the General Assembly.
- 4. The Judiciary Board shall be the final appeal court for all matters arising under the church discipline.
- 5. The Judiciary Board shall receive cases referred by the General Board, the Board of Bishops, the Council of Pastors and Elders or the General Assembly.

* * *

11. . . . The Judicial Council of the General Assembly shall be the trial court for the trial of the chairman [of the General Assembly], presided over by a temporary chairman elected by the General Assembly. The procedures for the trial of the General Assembly chairman shall be the same as the procedure for the trial of a Judiciary Board member. The decision of the Judicial Council shall not become effective unless the Council's decision is sustained by the Judiciary Board.

Church Constitution at Article VIII.

9. Pursuant to Article VIII of the Constitution, The Church's Judiciary Board is the <u>ultimate and final</u> authority on all matters within its purview. No other Constitutional amendment ratified and passed by the General Assembly since the Judiciary Board was created in 1991 has amended or abrogated the rights, duties, powers or obligations of the Judiciary Board. Indeed, as recently recognized by the Constitutional Committee of the General Assembly:

Judicial Branch

The Judiciary Board is (1) the final court of appeals, hearing disputes appealed from decisions of subordinate tribunals and (2) an ecclesiastical court of first instance as prescribed by the Constitution and (3) the ultimate authority on matters of constitutional interpretation.

The Legislative Branch does not execute the laws; The Executive Branch does not make the laws and The Judicial Branch does not execute the laws.

The description of each branch of government constitutes separation of power, the prevention of any entity's motivation of self-interest to violate the rights of others.

See Official Minutes of the General Assembly, November 2012 Session, at Attachment D (emphasis added).

10. Article VIII also sets forth the procedures through which The General Assembly reviews and approves (or rejects) candidates for membership on the Judiciary Board. See Article VIII, Judicial Qualifications and Nominations Committee. Among other things, the operative provisions of Article VIII require the General Assembly to establish a Standing Committee to assess a candidate's credentials and ability to serve and, if finding one or more candidates qualified, "shall submit all qualified nominees for each vacancy to the General Assembly for consideration." Id. (emphasis added).

- 11. No provision in Article VIII or elsewhere in the Church Constitution authorizes either The General Assembly or the Presiding Bishop to repudiate or otherwise fail or refuse to give effect to any final determination of the Judiciary Board except through a Constitutional Amendment approved by a 2/3 vote of the full General Assembly. See The Church Constitution at Article III (Structure of Church, Civil and Ecclesiastical), Part II (Ecclesiastical Structure), at Section B., The General Assembly, Part IV (Meetings of the General Assembly) at Paragraph 3 ("The General Assembly shall not enact and rules or conduct contrary to the Constitution of the Church of God In Christ, Inc. without first offering an amendment to the constitution, in a regular session with 2/3rd of the registered delegates present and voting . . .").
- 12. As set forth in greater detail below, Pastor Johnson has asserted through a formal charge that Chairman Hunt, in his official capacity, has engaged in conduct that is "repugnant to the [Church] Constitution" and, by refusing to respect or abide by duly issued orders of the Judiciary Board, has exceeded the scope of his authority under the Church Constitution and has thereby repudiated the Church Constitution.
 - a. Usurping the exclusive authority of the Judiciary Board to determine the constitutionality of matters arising under the Church's tri-partite governmental structure;
 - b. Purporting to unilaterally "override" a duly rendered final order of the Judiciary Board outside the scope of the Constitution's formal amendment process and otherwise without the concurrence of a majority of the General Assembly delegates in proper session;
 - c. Purporting to take official General Assembly action despite the absence of the requisite number of credentialed delegates necessary to advance and approve any matters before the General Assembly;
 - d. Ignoring the Constitutional mandate to elect a Parliamentarian, Chaplain and Sergeant at Arms for the General Assembly;
 - e. Failing or refusing during the April 2014 session of the General Assembly to fill a vacancy on the Judiciary Board.

- 13. As a credentialed and voting member of the General Assembly of the Church, Pastor Johnson has a vested interest in ensuring that the business of the General Assembly is conducted in a manner that comports with the Church Constitution. In addition, to the extent Chairman Hunt or any other Constitutional Officer of the Church engages in conduct repugnant to the Church Constitution, Pastor Johnson's vote and the votes of other member of the General Assembly are nullified and said delegates are thereby disenfranchised.
- 14. Pursuant to Article VIII of the Church Constitution, the procedures for the trial of the General Assembly chairman shall be the same as the procedure for the trial of a Judiciary Board member. See Article VIII, Duties at Paragraph 11.
- 15. Paragraph 2. B. 1. of the Section entitled <u>Term of Office</u> of Article VIII of the Church Constitution states that "a delegate in good standing in the Church of God in Christ having just cause to believe that a member of the Judiciary Board has committed an act repugnant to the Constitution of the Church of God in Christ may file a charge." Subparagraph a. of that provision directs that "the written petition shall be filed with the office of the Secretary of the General Assembly specifically setting out the charges and things complained of and copies shall be filed with the secretary of the Judiciary Board." See Article VIII at <u>Term of Office</u>, Paragraph 2. B. 1. a.
- 16. Accordingly, to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the Chairman of the General Assembly, a Church delegate in good standing having just cause to believe that the Chairman of the General Assembly has committed an act repugnant to the Church Constitution must file a written petition with the Secretary of the General Assembly specifying the charges and provide a copy of same to the Secretary of the Judiciary Board. Id.
- 17. On or about June 23, 2014, Pastor Johnson transmitted to the Secretary of the General Assembly a list of specific charges of misconduct by Chairman Hunt that Pastor Johnson

considers to be repugnant to the Church Constitution (the "Charges"). A true and correct copy of the Charges Pastor Johnson transmitted to the Secretary of the General Assembly is attached hereto as Exhibit B and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 18. On or about July 15, 2014, the Secretary of the General Assembly acknowledged receipt of the Charges by letter, in which letter the Secretary referred to sending the Charges to the body responsible for proceeding on them. A true and correct copy of the Secretary's July 15, 2014 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit C and is incorporated by reference.
- 19. The Secretary's July 15, 2014 letter reflects on its face that it was transmitted to, among others, the Presiding Bishop of the Church (as head of the General Board), the Church's General Counsel and Chairman Hunt.
- 20. The Charges identify several circumstances Pastor Johnson contends constitute unconstitutional behavior by Chairman Hunt as categorized in the non-exhaustive description below:

Specific Instances of Misconduct – Justice Clemmons

- 21. In 2013, the General Board affirmed Auxiliary Bishop Joseph Clemmons' service on the Judiciary Board in his capacity as a representative of the ministerial constituency of the General Assembly.
- 22. Thereafter and prior to April 10, 2014, Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons was consecrated as a full Bishop in the Church. Upon Bishop Clemmons' consecration, an issue arose regarding his capacity to serve on the Judicial Board in a seat reserved by the Church Constitution for a member of the ministerial constituency.
- 23. Prior to April 10, 2014, the Judiciary Board rendered its decision that, consistent with the Church Constitution, Auxiliary Bishop Joseph Clemmons no longer met the

requirements to serve on the Judiciary Board in the capacity in which he was elected and, therefore, could not continue to serve as a member of the Judiciary Board.

- 24. The Church Constitution recognizes that the Judiciary Board alone has the authority to interpret the Church Constitution. See The Church Constitution, Article VIII (the "Judiciary Board shall balance the Legislative and Executive Branches by being a <u>final authority</u> on questions of constitutionality and the final appellate forum of the Church for disputes" (emphasis added).
- 25. Shortly after receipt of the Judiciary Board's decision, Chairman Hunt declared to other members of the General Assembly in both public and private communications that the Judiciary Board's decision regarding Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons had to be "ratified" by the General Assembly that it otherwise was not a valid and binding decision and that the ruling was "unconstitutional."
- 26. Thereafter, Chairman Hunt, through an undated letter transmitted to the Judiciary Board, took the position that the Board's removal of Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons from the Judiciary Board was "unconstitutional" and demanded that Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons be restored to the Board. The Judiciary Board responded by affirming its prior order deciding that Bishop Clemmons could not serve on the Board occupying a seat designated for the ministerial consituency.
- 27. Thereafter, on April 10, 2014, during open proceedings in the General Assembly during the Spring Call Meeting, Chairman Hunt unilaterally declared that Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons' membership on the Judiciary Board was deemed restored by the General Assembly.
- 28. Chairman Hunt's attempted abrogation of the Judiciary Board's exclusive jurisdiction with respect to Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons through the conduct described in the immediately preceding paragraphs was unauthorized and represents an attempt by the Legislative

Branch to override a duly rendered decision of the Judicial Branch through actions other than a formal amendment to the Church Constitution. See Article III (Structure of Church, Civil and Ecclesiastical), Part II (Ecclesiastical Structure), at Section B. The General Assembly, Part IV (Meetings of the General Assembly); see also Article VIII and Constitution Committee Notes, Official Minutes, November 2012 Session at Attachment D ("The Judiciary Board is 3) the ultimate authority on matters of constitutional interpretation.").

29. To the extent Chairman Hunt genuinely believed that the decision of the Judiciary Board in removing Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons was not correct and was contrary to The Church's interests, the Church Constitution required that a proposed amendment to the Constitution be timely brought before and approved by 2/3 of the registered delegates of the General Assembly through three (3) separate readings. See Church Constitution at Article III (Structure of Church, Civil and Ecclesiastical), Part II (Ecclesiastical Structure), at Section B., The General Assembly, Part IV (Meetings of the General Assembly) at Paragraph 3.

Specific Instances of Misconduct – Improper Amendment Attempt

- 30. The General Assembly is deemed to be in session only during either the National Convocation of the Church Of God In Christ or a "special session" called with due notice to all credentialed delegates. See Church Constitution at Article III (Structure of Church, Civil and Ecclesiastical), Part II (Ecclesiastical Structure), at Section B., The General Assembly, Part IV (Meetings of the General Assembly) at Paragraph 4 (a), (b). Actions purporting to amend the Church Constitution must be approved by two-thirds of the credentialed membership of the General Assembly. See Paragraph 11 above.
- 31. On April 10, 2014, two-thirds of the credentialed membership of the General Assembly was not present. Accordingly, to the extent Chairman Hunt's conduct declaring

Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons restored to his seat on the Judiciary Board by decree of the General Assembly could be characterized as an attempt to amend the Church Constitution, any such action was procedurally deficient due to the absence of a sufficient percent of the General Assembly's membership to take official action.

32. Chairman Hunt's statement that Auxiliary Bishop Clemmons was restored to the Judiciary Board by decree of the General Assembly therefore was unauthorized under the Church Constitution. Chairman Hunt's conduct in this regard undermines the doctrine of separation of powers upon which the Church Constitution is founded and represents a breach of his duty as a Constitutional Officer of The Church to respect, uphold and enforce the Church Constitution.

Specific Instances of Misconduct – Failure to Timely Appoint Officers

- 33. The Church Constitution includes provisions designed to ensure that mechanisms and protocols are in place to protect the rights of all members and, to that end, requires the Chairman of the General Assembly to establish a leadership framework. See Church Constitution, Article I, Part II, Section B, Paragraph 3 (c).
 - 34. Specifically, among the Chairman's other duties, the following is to occur:
- (c) The General Assembly shall elect by majority vote of the members, present and voting, the following additional officers, to wit: (1) Parliamentarian, who shall advise the Chairman as to points of parliamentary law and procedure; (2) Chaplain, who shall conduct devotional services of General Assembly; (3) Sergeant at Arms, who shall keep and maintain order in all sessions of the General Assembly.
- 35. Chairman Hunt has been derelict in his duty to fulfill this mandate of the Church Constitution and therefore represents an act of misfeasance thereunder. Chairman Hunt's failure to abide by the unambiguous requirements of the Church Constitution reflects contumacious disregard of the formally expressed will of the entire body of The Church he undertook to serve.

Specific Instances of Misconduct – Breach of Judicial Nomination Protocol

- 36. Article VIII of the Church Constitution directs that the General Assembly form a Standing Committee on Judicial Qualifications and Nomination for membership of the Judiciary Board with each member thereof to serve for a four-year term. See Paragraph 5 above.
- 37. Once the Committee has determined a candidate for a vacancy on the Judiciary Board meets the criteria set forth in the Church Constitution for service on the Judiciary Board and is otherwise qualified to serve, the nomination is to be tendered to the General Assembly for approval or disapproval by a majority of the credentialed members. Id.
- 38. There is no duly enacted provision in the Church Constitution or in any other binding authority requiring that a specific number of candidates be submitted for one or more vacancies on the Judiciary Board. Likewise, there is no authorization within Article VIII or anywhere else in the Church Constitution to defer the date a duly nominated and approved candidate for the Judiciary Board begins service on the Board.
- 39. In April, 2014, the Screening and Nominating Committees of the General Assembly forwarded to the General Council of Pastors and Elders a qualified candidate to fill the vacancy on the Judiciary Board allotted to the general membership resulting from the death of Justice Thomas Hammonds. The General Council in turn voted to approve the candidate as one of its representatives on the Judiciary Board.
- 40. Pursuant to the procedures specified in the Church Constitution, The Chairman was required to submit the candidate approved by the General Council to the General Assembly for concurrence on the candidate's appointment to the Judicial Board.
- 41. Chairman Hunt failed or refused to call for a vote on the candidate forwarded through the Screening Committee, Nominating Committee and General Council. Chairman Hunt

stated that he would not proceed with a vote on the grounds that the General Council of Pastors and Elders was required to present two or more nominees for each vacant seat.

- 42. No such requirement exists in the Church Constitution or otherwise and Chairman Hunt's unilateral imposition of this condition unconstitutionally interfered with the duly enacted provisions of the Church Constitution.
- 43. Chairman Hunt's unilateral demands regarding the number of candidates to be submitted and his refusal to implement the process to fill vacancies on the Judiciary Board thus constituted conduct outside the scope of his authority as Chairman of the General Assembly and, again, represent a contumacious disregard for the duly enacted procedures mandated under the Church Constitution. In combination with the incidents cited above, Chairman Hunt's conduct reflects his repudiation of rather than respect for the Church Constitution that empowered the Chairmanship in the first instance.

Specific Instances of Misconduct – Refusal to Seat a Duly Elected Justice

- Assembly or any other officer or member to prevent a member of the Judiciary Board duly approved by the General Assembly from immediately assuming his or her duties on the Board. To the contrary, it has been the consistent practice of the General Assembly to allow duly elected members of the Judiciary Board to be seated as soon as the same day as the confirmation vote.
- 45. At the November 2013 Session of the General Assembly, Casandra Lewis and Auxiliary Bishop Enoch Perry were elected for seats on the Judiciary Board.
- 46. Following the November 2013 Session of the General Assembly, the Judiciary Board was requested to rule upon whether Auxiliary Bishop Perry (who was not a jurisdictional Bishop) was qualified to assume responsibilities on the Judiciary Board consistent with the Church Constitution. The Judiciary Board subsequently held that the seat for which Auxiliary

Bishop Perry had been elected was reserved for a jurisdictional Bishop. Because there was no dispute that Auxiliary Bishop Perry was not a jurisdictional Bishop as specified in the Church Constitution, the Judiciary Board that he could not continue to serve in the seat for which he was elected.

- 47. The question regarding Auxiliary Bishop Perry's credentials to serve on the Judicial Board was distinct from and raised no issues regarding Ms. Lewis' credentials or her approval by the General Assembly for her seat on the Judiciary Board.
- 48. Without the express consent of the General Assembly or any other authority under the Church Constitution, Chairman Hunt refused to allow duly elected Justice Lewis to be seated or to carry out her duties on the Judiciary Board until April of 2014 (the next meeting of the General Assembly). During this intervening period, the Judiciary Board was comprised of only five (5) members.
- 49. Chairman Hunt had no justifiable reason under the Church Constitution to defer seating Justice Lewis and his conduct in doing so was unauthorized and unwarranted. Rather, Chairman Hunt's disparate treatment of Justice Lewis appears to have been motivated solely by personal reasons unrelated to the business of the General Assembly.

Inaction on the Charges against Chairman Hunt

- 50. As noted *supra* in Paragraph ___, once the General Assembly Secretary receives the Charges, Paragraph 11 of Section "Duties" of Article VIII of the Church Constitution states that _____ shall _____.
- 51. By Order dated October 8, 2014, the Judiciary Board, in its capacity as the Supreme Court of the Church and indisputably the ultimate authority on the Church's Constitution, the Board found Chairman Hunt in his capacity as Chairman of the General Assembly was in contempt of court for his failure or refusal to follow the mandates of the

Board's prior orders and in defying the Board's authority to fully and finally decide all matters of Constitutional significance. Specifically,

52. Chairman Hunt has not yet purged his contempt before the Judiciary Board as, among other things, he has not complied with the Board's Order directing that Bishop Fortson be seated on the Judiciary Board immediately; to the contrary, Chairman Hunt purports to have ordered a new election.

COUNT I

DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 53. Pastor Johnson incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, inclusive, as the allegations of Paragraph 53 as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Pastor Johnson has exhausted all means of obtaining relief within the Church with respect to Chairman Hunt's conduct. The Judiciary Board has found Chairman Hunt's conduct to violate the Church Constitution yet Chairman Hunt persists in asserting and conducting himself in a manner that undermines the Constitutional authority of the Judiciary Board.
- 55. The Executive Branch of the Church has not to date discharged it obligation under the Constitution to enforce the "law of the land" for the Church as defined by the Judiciary Board.
- 56. To the extent neither the Executive Branch nor the Legislative Branch of the Church will take action in conformity with the Church Constitution, Pastor Johnson has no remedy within the Church to compel compliance with the Church Constitution.
- 57. Pastor Johnson is entitled to a declaration under the Church Constitution that Chairman Hunt is bound by and is obligated to respect and enforce the Church Constitution and that any failure or refusal to do so represents a breach of his duty as a Constitutional Officer of the Church.

58. Pastor Johnson is entitled to a declaration under the Church Constitution that either the General Assembly (currently under the control of Chairman Hunt) or the Executive Branch is obligated under the Constitution to formally address the Charges and to initiate the process through which Chairman Hunt will be investigated for and, if appropriate, tried for conduct repugnant to the Church Constitution. Pastor Johnson is further entitled to a declaration that any failure or refusal of the Executive Branch to so act is a breach of its duty under the Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Pastor Johnson respectfully requests that this Court enter an order declaring that:

- a) Chairman Hunt is bound by and is obligated to respect and enforce the Church Constitution;
- b) any failure or refusal by Chairman Hunt to do so represents a breach of his duty as a Constitutional Officer of the Church; and
- any failure or refusal by the Executive Branch of the Church to undertake the disciplinary process required is not in conformity with the express provisions of the Church Constitution.

COUNT II

INUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 59. Pastor Johnson incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through58, inclusive, as the allegations of Paragraph 59 as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. The failure or refusal of Chairman Hunt and the Executive Branch to respect, comply with and enforce the Church Constitution threatens imminent and irreparable harm to the Church. The failure of the respective governmental branches to abide by the duly enacted Constitution has resulted in a state of Constitutional chaos.

Case: 1:14-cv-08986 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/08/14 Page 17 of 17 PageID #:17

61. Should the conduct of Chairman Hunt and the Executive Branch in violation of

the Church be permitted to continue, the entire governmental structure of the Church will

collapse and the Church will become completely dysfunctional, thereby precluding the Church

from accomplishing its important missions as a non-profit religious organization.

WHEREFORE, Pastor Johnson respectfully requests this Court to:

a) issue an injunction prohibiting Chairman Hunt from engaging in any further conduct

that repudiates the rulings of the Judiciary Board;

b) engaging in conduct that otherwise is repugnant to the Church Constitution pending

the outcome of the Constitutionally required disciplinary proceedings; and

c) prohibiting Chairman Hunt from occupying a position as a Constitutional Officer of

the Church pending the outcome of the Constitutionally required disciplinary

proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

PASTOR ANDRE JOHNSON, OVERSEER AND MEMBER IN GOOD STANDING OF THE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC.

s/ Matthew R. Wildermuth

Matthew R. Wildermuth, Esq. THE LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW R. WILDERMUTH 33 N. LaSalle Street Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60602 (630) 967-6557 (312) 264-0652 (Fax) IL Bar No. 6202106

17